proposed. Blocking the project will require at least 40 percent of the drainage district acreage to submit letters of opposition to the project.
The Commission will hold an informational meeting on Tuesday, June 5 at 7:00 p.m. at the Beach Club. Written protests, questions, and alternative solutions will be discussed at that time. The Final Public Hearing will be held on Thursday, June 14 at 10:00 a.m. at the Beach Club. At that hearing "the board shall give full consideration to the project and all protests filed" and may make a final decision to proceed, modify, delay or abandon the project.

RCW 85.60 .660 states "If protests are filed before said hearing by owners of more than forty percent of the property in said district, the board shall not have the power to make the proposed improvement nor again initiate the same for one year." Written letters of protest must clearly state the basis of the protest and must include the property owners name and address, along with the Assessor's lot number. Send letters to Port Ludlow Drainage District, P. O. Box 65261, Port Ludlow, WA 98365.

## Drainage: The Other View

by Bruce Van Buren, Guest Writer

The drainage problem discussed in the Port Ludlow Drainage District (PLDD) meeting in May is real for the residents living by the water. This is a project that has been in the works for over 10 years and is the last major construction project for the PLDD. Other major projects solved the flooding on Montgomery and drainage work to prevent the bluff along the bay from sliding. These projects cost over $\$ 300,000$ and, if adjusted for inflation, would be more.

While there will be an impact to the trail as with any construction project, the engineers have made every effort to keep this as natural a wooded area as possible including new plantings to the disturbed areas and foot bridges added to the trail. The result was not just a 20 -foot "canal" lined with rock. Nobody wants that.

The addition of about $\$ 17$ per year to the drainage district's portion of the average owner's property tax bill each year for the next 20 years is a half-truth. While the assessment to cover cost of this project is approximately $\$ 17$, it was stated at the meeting that the present budget can absorb this with no increase in the assessment to property owners. Furthermore, the PLDD feels confident the current assessment will actually decrease in a few years as it turns its' attention to maintenance and not new construction.
After much discussion and resident input, the Commission agreed that it will either e-mail or mail information on the proposed project to all North Bay property owners so that everyone has a clear understanding of the scope, environmental ramifications and costs of what is

## Downhill continued from previous page

Several people wanted the hearing tabled for 90 days. We believe this would have caused bids to be thrown out and the project would have to go out for bids again. My personal experience with bids would mean this project could cost lot owners more. An improved drainage ditch is a sensible solution proposed by experienced engineers.

The "downhill" property owners encourage other residents to become informed about drainage problems in North Bay and attend the scheduled meetings. We are confident that the board shall consider the merits of the project and its impact on concerned property owners.

